# Science and Technology Fair 2016-2017

All Mac science students are required to prepare a project for the Mac Science and Technology fair scheduled to take place:

### Senior (Grades 9, 10 & 11)

Project Due: December 7, 2016 Judging (in class): December 7, 8 & 9 2016

# Junior (Grades 7 & 8)

Project due: December 7, 2016 Judging (in class): December 7, 8 & 9 2016

This project requires you to either:

- 1) Perform an experiment in order to prove a hypothesis.
- 2) Design a technological object to solve a problem.

Guidelines for experimental projects:

- 1. Choose a simple & suitable question to investigate
- 2. Formulate a hypothesis
- 3. Design an experiment
- 4. Describe the procedure of your experiment
- 5. Record data & observations
- 6. Discuss the results
- 7. Make a conclusion
- 8. Present your work neatly & clearly

#### Guidelines for technological design projects:

- 1. Choose a simple & suitable problem to investigate
- 2. Formulate solutions & choose the best one
- 3. Design a technological object (include a design plan or technological drawing)
- 4. Describe the procedure to make your prototype
- 5. Build your prototype
- 6. Test & redesign your prototype
- 7. Make conclusions and recommendations
- 8. Present your work neatly & clearly

## **Important Reminders for All Participants**

Topics and groups must be approved by your teacher, and you must sign up for your topic by the date required by your teacher.
Maximum 3 persons per group from the same class. (If you have aspirations to go on to
the regional science fair, maximum 2 persons per group).
Your project can either be presented through a PowerPoint presentation OR a display
board, OR both a PowerPoint presentation and a display board.
All projects must involve research and include references (i.e., a bibliography).
Use the judging form that you have been given as a guideline to prepare your project.
Hazardous materials are not allowed.
Try to be unique and original – creativity will be rewarded. Avoid overdone projects like
volcanoes, tornadoes, mood & music, sleep deprivation, etc.
Prizes and awards will be given to the top projects in each grade level.
This is a major and mandatory part of your Practical evaluation for the term, so make

sure that you put in the appropriate time and effort and do a good job!

8
$\overline{\Sigma}$
7
5
9
Š
S/S
æ
윰
₹
e e
Ę
ŏ
Š
~
ğ
S.C
욡
풉
Ë
뿔
쯀
<u>a</u>
8
용
<u>a</u>
Ė
d/
₽
Ē

					Anna and and the same of the s	
Sat.	_	<b>&amp;</b>	15	22	29	
			4		80	
u. Fri			14	0 21	7	
Thu.	9	ဖ	13	20	27	
Wed.	2016	ស	12	9	56	
Tue.	,	4	1	18	25	Notes:
Mon.	October	က	10	17 Introto scienceard technology fair.	Decide topic & groups	31
	Oct					
Sun.		8	<b>o</b>	16	23	30

121.jpg (2376×1836)

_
6
ਲ
<u>∞</u>
-
က်
$\sim$
3
9
-
ă
:
$\sim$
. 4

10/7/2016

				1	1	7
Sat.		ro.	12	19	26	
,						1
Fi.		4	7	8	25	
Thu.		m	10	17	24	Notes:
	6					
7						2.,
Wed.	9	8	<b>o</b>	16	23	30
	2016					
<b>.</b>						
Tue.	õ	-	00	15	22	29
	Novembe		hesis, bles,		100 - 100 -	
Mon.	6		avestion ingrether vanable	41	Method, tables, graphs.	28
				<u>.                                    </u>		N
	0		V	1 2 2 1		
Sun.			ဖ	6.	20	27

28.jpg (2376×1836)

- 1						
Saturday	က	10	17	24	3.1	
Friday	2	A SNO	16	23	30	
Thursday	1	BICE FAIR PRESENTATIONS	15	22	29	
Wednesday	2016	SCIENCE 4—SCIENCE	14	21	28	
Tuesday		9	13	20	27	
Monday	ecempe	5 lab reports, 6 brands and repoints powerpoints due.	12	19	26	
Sunday	Dec	4	11	18	25	Notes:



Title of Project:	y Fair 2		2.44		
Student Name(s):					
Experimentation					
Experimentation	No Evide nce	Below Avera ge	Avera	Abov 6 Avera	Outs andi:
Scientific (60% of global mark)	1	2	3	ge 4	5
Identified the problem or question	ь	0		_	-
Objectives of the experiment were clearly stated	-			D	0
Developed a hypothesis & Identified independent, dependent & control variables	-				- 0
Developed a good procedure for testing the hypothesis		0			0
Thorough data collection, Ran sufficient trials, sample size adequate, etc.				0	-
Data was organized into appropriate tables and/or graphs	D			п	מ
Analyzed and interpreted data properly, Explanations were coherent			0		- I
Use of terminology was correct			-	-	0
Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made	0	0	D	-	
Derived conclusions & related back to hypothesis		0	-	-	
Recommendations, follow-ups or applications were suggested, experiment was put in context	0	0		D	
The topic or approach was unique or innovative	0	0	0	0	0
Evidence the student(s) thoroughly researched the topic				-	0
The project represented the student's own work vs. outside sesistance	D	0	0		0
	Scient	ific Sc	core:	0	_
					6
					/e
Communication (40% of global mark)	1	2	3	4	5
	1	2	3	. 0	
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)		-		4	5
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood	П	п	В	4	5
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions	D D	D D	0	4	5
Communication (40% of global mark) The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions At members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing	D D	D D	0	4	5
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions All members of the team actively participated in the presentation	D D	D D	0 0	4 B B	5
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions If members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: this, hypothesis, procedure, results (data ables &/or graphs), analysis, conclusion, references	0	D D	D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	4	5
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions All members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, hypothesis, procedure, results (data	0	D D D	0 0	4	5 0 0
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions If members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, hypothesis, procedure, results (data ables &/or graphs), analysis, conclusion, references The visual sids properly supported the exhibit & aided comprehension The written language & terminology used were high quality  written report was present & all the necessary components were included: title page,		D D D	0 0 0 0	4 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5 0 0 0 0 0
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions If members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, hypothesis, procedure, results (data ables &/or graphs), analysis, conclusion, references The visual sids properly supported the exhibit & aided comprehension The written language & terminology used were high quality  written report was present & all the necessary components were included: title page, stroduction/hypothesis, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, minimum 3 references  Comments:			D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	4	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions If members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, hypothesis, procedure, results (data ables &/or graphs), analysis, conclusion, references The visual sids properly supported the exhibit & aided comprehension The written language & terminology used were high quality  written report was present & all the necessary components were included: title page, stroduction/hypothesis, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, minimum 3 references  Comments:  Comments:			D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	4	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence) The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions If members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, hypothesis, procedure, results (data ables &/or graphs), analysis, conclusion, references The visual sids properly supported the exhibit & aided comprehension The written language & terminology used were high quality It written report was present & all the necessary components were included: title page, it includion/hypothesis, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, minimum 3 references  Comments:			D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	4	5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

		Project #:
احما	Calaman	and Taskmalam, Fair

# Macdonald High School Science and Technology Fair 2015-2016

Grade Level:

Technology (60% of global mark)  Identified the problem  Information was well researched & limitations were identified  Possible solutions were identified & best one was chosen  Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftemanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Comments:  Comments:  Comments were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  M members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical trawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	No Evide nce	Below Avera ge		Abov e Avera ge 4	Outstanding  5  0
Technology (60% of global mark)  Identified the problem  Information was well researched & limitations were identified  Possible solutions were identified & best one was chosen  Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate edjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  M members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical trawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	No Evide nce	Avera ge	3	e Avera ge 4	sndir g s
Technology (60% of global mark)  Identified the problem  Information was well researched & limitations were identified  Possible solutions were identified & best one was chosen  Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate edjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  Unimembers of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical rawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	No Evide nce	Avera ge	3	e Avera ge 4	sndir g s
Technology (60% of global mark)  Identified the problem  Information was well researched & limitations were identified  Possible solutions were identified & best one was chosen  Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate edjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  Unimembers of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical rawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	No Evide nce	Avera ge	3	e Avera ge 4	sndir g s
Technology (60% of global mark)  Identified the problem  Information was well researched & limitations were identified  Possible solutions were identified & best one was chosen  Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftemanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Comments:  Comments:  Comments were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  M members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical trawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	Avera ge	3	e Avera ge 4	sndir g s
Identified the problem Information was well researched & limitations were identified Possible solutions were Identified & best one was chosen Objectives were clearly stated Design plan or technological drawing was well made Procedure to make the prototype was well documented Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional The student's evaluation criteria were relevant Possible uses or applications were explained Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested The technological object or approach was unique or innovative The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Comments:  Comments:  Comments were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions If membere of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical frawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references					0
Information was well researched & limitations were identified  Possible solutions were identified & best one was chosen  Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Comments:  Comments:  Comments were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  M membere of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical frawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references					0
Possible solutions were identified & best one was chosen  Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftemanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were suggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references				0	0
Objectives were clearly stated  Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references				0	0
Design plan or technological drawing was well made  Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggadness & craftemanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside essistance  Comments:  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  Mill members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0 0 0	0	0	0	0
Procedure to make the prototype was well documented  Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good  The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional  The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate edjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0 0 0	0	0 0	0	0
Appearance of the prototype: finish, ruggedness & craftsmanship are good The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional The student's evaluation criteria were relevant Possible uses or applications were explained Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested The technological object or approach was unique or innovative The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance Comments:	0 0	0	0	0	
The performance of the prototype is well demonstrated; it is functional The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested The technological object or approach was unique or innovative The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Comments:  Technological object or approach was unique or innovative The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  Technological object or approach was unique or innovative The project representation (40% of global mark) The prosentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood Responded adequately to questions All members of the team actively participated in the presentation Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical trawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0	0	0	0	0
The student's evaluation criteria were relevant  Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate edjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical trawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0	0			-
Possible uses or applications were explained  Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were suggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Comments:  To  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0	0	0	D	
Use of terminology was correct, explanations were consistent  Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0	0		1	0
Errors were recognized & appropriate adjustments were made  Recommendations, improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0			D	
Recommendations, Improvements, or follow-ups were auggested  The technological object or approach was unique or innovative  The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	$\overline{}$	0	+	п	ם
The project represented the student's own work vs. outside assistance  Comments:  To  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	0
Comments:  Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references					
Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references		-	0		
Communication (40% of global mark)  The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	<b>D</b>		0	П	В
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	echn	ology	Score	ð:	_%_
The presentation was interesting & dynamic (enthusiasm & presence)  The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	8				
The proper terms were used & the subject matter was well understood  Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	1	2	3	4	5
Responded adequately to questions  All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0		_	0	D
All members of the team actively participated in the presentation  Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing  Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references		0	В	0	0
Display board was present, well organized & visually appealing Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0	D	p	0	D
Display board had all of the necessary components: title, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references		0	ь	В	a
drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, min. 3 references	0		0	В	0
The visual aids properly supported the exhibit & aided comprehension	D	-	0	В	D
			0	D	0
	_	0	D	D	a
Written report was present & all necessary components were included: title page, problem, solution, design plan/technical drawing, procedure, results, analysis, conclusion, minimum 3 eferences	<u> </u>	°			0
Comments:	n n				
Commun	_				

#### Lab Report Format for Macdonald Science Fair

Follow the following lab report format when submitting your final lab report. It must be typed.

#### Title of the Experiment

1) Purpose: Why are you doing the lab?

Ex. The purpose of the lab is to determine how different types of soil affect the growth rate of plants.

2) Hypothesis: What do you predict will happen in the lab?

Ex. Coarse sand particles will sediment more quickly than fine sand particles because the particles are larger

- Do not use "I think" in your hypothesis, it should be a scientific statement, not a thought
- You must support your hypothesis with scientific reasoning. After your prediction, use the word "because" and explain your prediction.

<u>3) Variables:</u> What are the independent variables, dependant variables, and controls in your experiment?

Ex. Independent variable: Type of soil plants are grown in Dependant variable: The rate of plant growth Controls: amount of water, amount of sunlight

4) Materials: List all the materials used in the lab.

Ex. Plants Soil Water

5) Procedure: What steps did you follow while performing your experiment?

- Write a <u>numbered list</u>, not in paragraph form.
- Write in present tense.
- Include all materials used in each step.
- List all precise quantities with units.
- Labeled diagram of set up, (when necessary).

#### 6) Results: Observations made DURING the experiment. What is happening?

- List <u>qualitative</u> observations in point form.
- Include quantitative observations in a table of results:
  - Data for all measurements must be in the form of a table.
  - All data measurements must be included in this section.

It is possible that you may only have qualitative or quantitative data. Be sure to present it in the proper way.

#### 7) Analysis: Your interpretation and understanding of the experiment.

#### a) Calculations (when necessary)

• If you performed any calculations, a sample must be provided in this section.

#### b) Graph (when necessary)

- It may be a line graph or a bar graph depending on the type of data you collected.
- Graphs can be done on the computer, or by hand. If done by hand, they must be very neat.
- Your graph must have a title including the names of the independent and dependent variables (independent versus dependent variable)
- The x axis (independent variable) and y axis (dependant variable) on your graph must have labels.

#### c) Analysis Paragraph - ALWAYS do this.

- Summarize your results (data tables and graphs) using scientific language.
- Discuss whether or not you think your results are valid (was it the result you were expecting? If it not, explain what you think should have happened).
- Explain your results using scientific language and theory (taught in class or researched online if you refer to research, provide a reference in the bibliography).

#### **Conclusion, (written as a paragraph):** A summary of the experiment.

- Summarize your results in one sentence. This should be written as an answer to the experimental question or task.
- Say if your results agree or disagree with your hypothesis.
- Explain any mistakes you think you made during the experiment.
- Suggest how you could improve the procedure if you were to do the lab again.
- Propose a new experimental question, based on your findings

#### Technological Design - Lab Report

Your technological design lab report will be structured a little differently than that of experimentation. Follow this format.

#### Identify the problem:

- What are the functions of the device, software or product?
- What is the device, software or product intended to be used for?
- What needs are to be met by the device, software or product?
- What are the expected obstacles?
- Give a brief history of the product.
- What other products have been created to address the same needs?

#### Objectives:

- What goals will you achieve with your design?
- Why did you select these goals?

#### Development:

- Make a list of the equipment you will need to build the product.
- Draw a sketch of your product, (on clean, white paper, in pencil).
- Give the planned dimensions of the product.

#### Performance:

- How are you testing the product?
- Make observations of the performances show observations in a graph or table, or both.

#### Evaluation:

- Does it perform the way that it is intended? To what degree?
- What problems have you encountered?
- Suggestions for improvement.

# Science Fair Board

